

INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY NANO SEMI GENERALIZED CLOSED SETS

A. Stephan Antony Raj*

Department of Mathematics,
SVS College of Engineering,
Coimbatore, Tamilnadu,
India.

stephanraj138@gmail.com

M. Ramachandran

Department of Mathematics,
Govt. Arts and Science College,
Sathyamangalam, Tamilnadu,
India.

dr.ramachandran64@gmail.com

D. Vinodhini

Department of Mathematics,
SVS College of Engineering,
Coimbatore, Tamilnadu,
India.

durai.vinodhini@gmail.com

Abstract. In this article, we investigate intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi generalized closed sets in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy interior and closure operators. Also we study some of its results.

Keywords: IFNs-g interior, IFNs-g closure and IFNsg-closed set.

MSC (2010): Primary: 54B05; Secondary: 08A72

1. Introduction

Levine [4] gave the idea of generalizing closed sets in topological spaces by comparing the closure of a subset with its open supersets. In 1987, P.Bhattacharyya,

et. al [6] introduced the notion of semi generalized closed sets in topological spaces. Further many researchers extended the study of generalized closed sets on the basis of generalized open sets. Lellis Thivagar, et.al[7][13] defined Nano topology in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it and also defined Nano closed sets, Nano-interior and Nano-closure. A. Stephan Antony Raj, et.al [3] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space (IFNTS) with respect to a subset X of an universe U and studied its application. Further the present authors [5] studied the properties of intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets and its generalization.

In this article, we discuss the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi generalized closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological spaces and its properties are also introduced and investigated.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1[3]. Let U be the universe, R be an intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{1 \sim, 0 \sim, IFL_R(X), IFU_R(X), IFB_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $1 \sim$ and $0 \sim \in \tau_R(X)$.
- (2) The union of the elements of any sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- (3) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

That is, $\tau_R(X)$ is a topology on U called the intuitionistic fuzzy nano topology on U with respect to X . We call $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as the intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called as intuitionistic fuzzy nano-open sets. If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space(In short IFNTS) where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then the intuitionistic fuzzy nano interior of A is defined as the union of all intuitionistic fuzzy nano-open subsets of A and it is denoted by $IFNInt(A)$. $IFNInt(A)$ is the largest intuitionistic fuzzy nano-open subset of A . The intuitionistic fuzzy nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all intuitionistic fuzzy nano closed sets(In short IFNCS) containing A and it is denoted by $IFNCl(A)$. That is, $IFNCl(A)$ is the smallest intuitionistic fuzzy nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2[3]. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$.

Then A is said to be

- a) intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-open if $A \subseteq IFNCl(IFNInt(A))$.
- b) intuitionistic fuzzy nano pre-open if $A \subseteq IFNInt(IFNCl(A))$.

c) intuitionistic fuzzy nano α -open if $A \subseteq \text{IFNInt}(\text{IFNCl}(\text{IFNInt}(A)))$.

$\text{IFNSO}(U, X)$, $\text{IFNPO}(U, X)$ and $\tau_R(X)$ respectively denote the families of all intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-open, intuitionistic fuzzy nano pre-open and intuitionistic fuzzy nano α -open subsets of U .

Definition 3[3]. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. A is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy nano α -closed (resp., intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-closed (In short IFNSC), intuitionistic fuzzy nano pre-closed), if its complement is nano α -open (resp., intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-open, intuitionistic fuzzy nano pre-open).

Definition 4[5]. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space. A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called intuitionistic fuzzy nano generalized closed set (briefly IFNg- closed) if $\text{IFNCl}(A) \subseteq V$ where $A \subseteq V$ and V is intuitionistic fuzzy nano open (In short IFNOS).

Definition 5[7]. A subset A of a nano topological space U is said to be nano semi-generalized closed (briefly, nano sg-closed), if $\text{N scl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is nano semi-open and $A \subseteq G$. The set A is said to be nano semi-generalized open (nano sg-open) if A^c is nano sg-closed.

Definition 6[13]. A subset A of a nano topological space U is said to be nano semi-generalized closed (briefly, nano sg-closed), if $\text{N scl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is nano semi-open and $A \subseteq G$. The set A is said to be nano semi-generalized open (nano sg-open) if A^c is nano sg-closed.

Definition 7[13]. If $A \subseteq U$, then the nano semi - generalized closure denoted by $\text{N sgcl}(A)$ is defined as the smallest nano sg-closed set containing A . The nano semi-generalized interior of A , denoted by $\text{N sgint}(A)$ is defined as the largest nano sg-open subset of A .

3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy nano semi generalized closed sets

In this section, we extend the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy nano generalized closed sets to intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi generalized closed sets that are independent of intuitionistic fuzzy nano generalized closed sets and defined by comparing the

intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi closure of a set with its intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-open supersets.

Throughout this paper $(T, \tau_R(X))$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space (In short IFNTS) with respect to X where $X \subseteq T$, R is an equivalence relation on T , T/R denotes the family of equivalence classes of T by R .

Definition 8. A subset A of a intuitionistic fuzzy nano topological space T is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-generalized closed (briefly, IFNsg-closed), if $\text{IFNscl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-open (In short IFNSO) and $A \subseteq G$. The set A is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-generalized open (IFNsg-open) if A^C is intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-generalized closed (IFNsg-closed).

Example 1. Let (T, R) be an intuitionistic fuzzy approximation space (In short IFAS) where $T = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ with $R = \{\langle (x_1, x_1), 1, 0 \rangle, \langle (x_1, x_2), 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle (x_2, x_1), 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle (x_2, x_2), 1, 0 \rangle, \langle (x_2, x_3), 0.30, 0.70 \rangle, \langle (x_3, x_2), 0.30, 0.70 \rangle, \langle (x_3, x_3), 1, 0 \rangle, \langle (x_1, x_3), 0.40, 0.60 \rangle, \langle (x_3, x_1), 0.40, 0.60 \rangle\}$.

Let $B = \{\langle x_1, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.30, 0.60 \rangle\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set (In short IFS) on T then

$$\tau_R(X) = \{1 \sim, 0 \sim, \{\langle x_1, 0.70, 0.30 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.60, 0.30 \rangle\}, \{\langle x_1, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.60, 0.30 \rangle\}, \{\langle x_1, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.30, 0.60 \rangle\}\}.$$

Here, $C = \{\langle x_1, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.50, 0.50 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.30, 0.60 \rangle\}$ is an IFNSO set, then $\text{IFNscl}(B) \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq C$. Therefore, B is IFNsg-closed.

Definition 9. If $A \subseteq T$, then the intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi - generalized closure denoted by $\text{IFNs-gcl}(A)$ is defined as the smallest intuitionistic fuzzy nano sg-closed set containing A . The intuitionistic fuzzy nano semi-generalized interior of A , denoted by $\text{IFNs-gint}(A)$ is defined as the largest intuitionistic fuzzy nano sg-open subset of A .

Theorem 1. A set A is IFNsg-closed in T if and only if $\text{IFNscl}(A) - A$ has no non-empty, IFNSC.

Proof. Let A be IFNsg-closed and F be a IFNSC subset of $\text{IFNscl}(A) - A$. Then $(\text{IFNscl}(A) - A)^C \subseteq F^C$ and F^C is IFNSO. That is, $(\text{IFNscl}(A) \cap A^C)^C \subseteq F^C$. Therefore, $A \cup (\text{IFNsint}(A^C)) \subseteq F^C$. Thus F^C is IFNSO and $A \subseteq F^C$. Since A is

IFNsg-closed, $\text{IFN scl}(A) \subseteq F^C$. That is, $F \subseteq (\text{IFN scl}(A))^C$. Thus, $F \subseteq (\text{IFN scl}(A)) \cap (\text{IFN scl}(A))^C = 0\sim$. Therefore, $F = 0\sim$. Conversely, let $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A$ have no non-empty, IFNSC. Let G be IFNSO in T such that $A \subseteq G$. If $\text{IFN scl}(A) * G$, then $\text{IFN scl}(A) \cap G^C = 0\sim$. And, $\text{IFN scl}(A) \cap G^C \subseteq \text{IFN scl}(A) - A$, since $A \subseteq G$. Thus $\text{IFN scl}(A) \cap G^C$ is a non-empty IFNSC of $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\text{IFN scl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is IFNSO and $A \subseteq G$. That is, A is IFNsg-closed in T .

The following theorem provides a condition under which a IFNsg-closed is IFNSC.

Theorem 2. Let A be IFNsg-closed. Then A is IFNSC if and only if $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A$ is IFNSC.

Proof. Let A be IFNsg-closed. If A is IFNSC, $\text{IFN scl}(A) = A$ and hence $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A = 0\sim$ which is IFNSC. Conversely let $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A$ be IFNSC. Then $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A$ is IFNsg-closed. Then $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A$ does not contain any non-empty, IFNSC. Therefore, $\text{IFN scl}(A) - A = 0\sim$. That is, $\text{IFN scl}(A) = A$. Therefore, A is IFNSC.

Now, we derive the forms of IFNsg-closed for various cases of approximations.

Theorem 3. If $\text{IFLR}(X) = \text{IFUR}(X)$ in a IFNTS T , then any $A \subseteq [\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$ and $[\text{IFLR}(X)]^C \cup B$ where $B \subseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$ are the only IFNsg-closed sets in T .

Proof. When $\text{IFLR}(X) = \text{IFUR}(X)$, $\tau_r(X) = \{1\sim, 0\sim, \text{IFLR}(X)\}$. Also, $0\sim$ and any $A \supseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$ are the only IFNSO sets in T . If $A \subseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$, then $\text{IFN scl}(A) = T$ and the IFNSO sets containing A are those sets B for which $\text{IFLR}(X) \subseteq B$. Thus, $\text{IFN scl}(A) \subseteq G$, not for every IFNSO such that $A \subseteq G$. Therefore, A is not IFNsg-closed. If $A \subseteq [\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$, then $\text{N scl}(A) = A$, since any subset of $[\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$ is IFNSC in T . Thus, $\text{IFN scl}(A) = A \subseteq G$ whenever G is IFNSO and $A \subseteq G$. Therefore, A is IFNsg-closed. If $A \supseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$ and $A = T$, $\text{IFN scl}(A) = T$ and the IFNSO containing A are A and T . Therefore, $\text{IFN scl}(A) * A$. Therefore, any $A \supseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$ and $A = T$ is not IFNsg-closed. If $A \supseteq [\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$, then $\text{IFN scl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is IFNSO and $G \subseteq A$, since T is the only IFNSO set containing A . Therefore, if $A \supseteq [\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$, then A is IFNsg-closed. When A has at least one element of $\text{IFLR}(X)$ and exactly one element, say y , of $[\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$ where $\text{IFLR}(X)$ is not a singleton set, $\text{IFN scl}(A) = T$. But $\text{IFLR}(X) \cup \{y\}$ is a nano semi-open set containing A and $\text{IFN scl}(A) = T * \text{IFLR}(X) \cup \{y\}$. Therefore, A is not IFNsg-closed.

Thus, the only IFNsg-closed sets in T are subsets of $[IFL_R(X)]^C$ and any $A \supset [IFL_R(X)]^C$.

Theorem 4. If $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$, then the only IFNsg-closed sets in T are subsets of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ and any $A \supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$.

Proof. If $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{1\sim, 0\sim, IFU_R(X)\}$. Also, $0\sim$ and those sets A for which $A \supseteq IFU_R(X)$ are the only IFNSO sets in T . Therefore, the sets A for which $A \subseteq [IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the only IFNSC sets in T . If $A \subseteq IFU_R(X)$, then $IFN\text{scl}(A) = T$. But $IFU_R(X)$ is a IFNSO set containing A , for which $IFN\text{scl}(A) \neq G$. Therefore, A is not IFNsg-closed. If $A \supset IFU_R(X)$ and $A = T$, then $IFN\text{scl}(A) = T$. But, for $G = A$, which is a IFNSO set containing itself, $IFN\text{scl}(A) \neq G$. Therefore, A is not IFNsg-closed. If $A \subseteq [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then $IFN\text{scl}(A) = A$ and hence for every IFNSO set G such that $A \subseteq G$, $IFN\text{scl}(A) \subseteq G$. Therefore A is IFNsg-closed. If $A \supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then T is the only IFNSO set containing A and hence $IFN\text{scl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is IFNSO and $A \subseteq G$. Therefore, A is IFNsg-closed. If A has one element, say x of $IFU_R(X)$ and atleast one element of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$, then $IFN\text{scl}(A) = T$. Since any set containing $IFU_R(X)$ is IFNSO in T , $A \cup (IFU_R(X))$ and any set containing $A \cup (IFU_R(X))$ are IFNSO sets containing A . But, $IFN\text{scl}(A) = T \neq A \cup (IFU_R(X))$. Therefore, A is not IFNsg-closed in T . Thus, only subsets of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ and any $A \supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$ are IFNsg-closed in T , when $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$.

Theorem 5. If $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$ and $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ in a IFNTS T , then every subset of T is IFNsg-closed.

Proof. $1\sim, 0\sim, IFL_R(X)$ and $IFB_R(X)$ are the only sets in T which are IFNO, IFNSO and IFNSC in T . If $A \subseteq IFL_R(X)$, then $IFL_R(X)$ and T are the only IFNSO sets containing A and $IFN\text{scl}(A) = IFL_R(X)$. Therefore, $IFN\text{scl}(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is IFNSO and $A \subseteq G$. Thus, A is IFNsg-closed. If $A \subseteq IFB_R(X)$, then $IFB_R(X)$ and T are the only IFNSO sets containing A and $IFN\text{scl}(A) = IFB_R(X)$. Therefore, A is IFNsg-closed. If $A \supset IFL_R(X)$ or $A \supset IFB_R(X)$, then T is the only IFNSO set containing A and hence A is IFNsg-closed. If A contains atleast one

element of $IFL_R(X)$ and atleast one element of $IFB_R(X)$, then T is the only $IFNSO$ set containing A . Therefore, A is $IFNsg$ -closed. Thus, every subset of T is $IFNsg$ -closed, if $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$ and $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$.

Theorem 6. If $IFL_R(X) = IFU_R(X)$ where $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$, then any subset of $[IFL_R(X)]^C$, any subset of $[IFB_R(X)]^C$ and those subsets of T which have atleast one element each of $IFL_R(X)$, $IFB_R(X)$ and $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the only $IFNsg$ -closed sets in T .

Proof. $\tau_r(X) = \{1\sim, 0\sim, IFL_R(X), IFB_R(X), IFU_R(X)\}$. Also $1\sim, 0\sim, IFL_R(X), IFB_R(X), A \supseteq IFU_R(X), IFL_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C, IFB_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C, A \cup IFL_R(X)$ and $A \cup IFB_R(X)$, where $A \subset [IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the only $IFNSO$ sets in T . Therefore, the $IFNSC$ sets in T are $1\sim, 0\sim, [IFL_R(X)]^C, [IFB_R(X)]^C, A \subseteq [IFU_R(X)]^C, IFB_R(X), IFL_R(X), (A \cup IFB_R(X))^C$ and $(A \cup IFL_R(X))^C$ where $A \subset [IFU_R(X)]^C$.

Let $A \subseteq IFL_R(X)$. Then $IFN scl(A) = IFL_R(X)$. Also $IFL_R(X), A \supseteq IFU_R(X), IFL_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C$ and $A \cup IFL_R(X)$ where $A \subset [IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the $IFNSO$ sets containing A . Therefore, $IFN scl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is $IFNSO$ and $A \subseteq G$. Thus, A is $IFNsg$ -closed. If $A \subseteq IFB_R(X)$, then $IFN scl(A) = IFB_R(X)$ and $IFB_R(X), A \supseteq IFU_R(X), IFB_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C$ and $A \cup IFB_R(X)$ where $A \subset [IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the $IFNSO$ sets containing A . Therefore, $IFN scl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is $IFNSO$ and $A \subseteq G$. Thus, A is $IFNsg$ -closed.

If $A = IFL_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then $IFN scl(A) = IFL_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C = A$. And $IFL_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C$ and T are the only $IFNSO$ sets containing A . Therefore, $IFN scl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is $IFNSO$ and $A \subseteq G$ and hence A is $IFNsg$ -closed.

If $A = IFB_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then the $IFNSO$ sets containing A are A and T . Also $IFN scl(A) = A$. Therefore, $IFN scl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is $IFNSO$ and $A \subseteq G$. Thus, A is $IFNsg$ -closed.

If $A = [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then the $IFNSO$ sets containing A are $T, IFL_R(X) \cup A$ and $IFB_R(X) \cup A$ and $IFN scl(A) = A$. Thus, $IFN scl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is $IFNSO$ and $A \subseteq G$. Thus, A is $IFNsg$ -closed.

Thus, combining all the above cases together, we see that subsets of $[IFB_R(X)]^C$

and subsets of $[IFLR(X)]^C$ are IFNsg-closed in T.

If A has atleast one element each of $IFLR(X)$, $IFBR(X)$ and $[IFUR(X)]^C$, then $IFN scl(A) = T$ and T is the only IFNSO set containing A. Therefore, A is IFNsg-closed. If A has atleast one element of $IFLR(X)$ and atleast one element of $IFBR(X)$, but no element of $[IFUR(X)]^C$, then $IFUR(X)$ and T are the IFNSO sets containing A and $IFN scl(A) = T$. Therefore, $IFN scl(A) \neq IFUR(X)$, a IFNSO set containing A. Thus, A is not IFNsg-closed.

Thus, the only IFNsg-closed sets in T are subsets of $[IFLR(X)]^C$, subsets of $[IFBR(X)]^C$ and those sets which have atleast one element each of $IFLR(X)$, $IFBR(X)$ and $[IFUR(X)]^C$.

Theorem 7. If $IFLR(X) = IFUR(X)$ in T, then $A \cup B$ is IFNsg-closed whenever A and B are IFNsg-closed.

Proof. The only IFNsg-closed sets in T are subsets of $[IFLR(X)]^C$ and

$IF[LR(X)]^C \cup B$ where $B \subseteq IFLR(X)$. Let A and B be IFNsg-closed in T.

Case 1: Let $A \subseteq [IFLR(X)]^C$ and $B \subseteq [IFLR(X)]^C$. Then $A \cup B \subseteq [IFLR(X)]^C$ which is IFNsg-closed.

Case 2: Let $A \subseteq [IFLR(X)]^C$ and $B = [IFLR(X)]^C \cup Y$ where $Y \subseteq IFLR(X)$. Then $A \cup B \subseteq [IFLR(X)]^C \cup Y = B$ which is IFNsg-closed.

Case 3: Let $A = [IFLR(X)]^C \cup Y$ and $B = [IFLR(X)]^C \cup Z$ where Y and Z $\subseteq IFLR(X)$. Then $A \cup B = [IFLR(X)]^C \cup [Y \cup Z]$ where $Y \cup Z \subseteq IFLR(X)$ and hence $A \cup B$ is IFNsg-closed.

Theorem 8. If $IFLR(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFUR(X) = 1\sim$, then the union of IFNsg-closed sets is IFNsg-closed.

Proof. Since subsets of $[IFUR(X)]^C$ and any set $\supset [IFUR(X)]^C$ are nano sg-closed, if A and B are IFNsg-closed in T, then A and B are either subsets of $[IFUR(X)]^C$ or contain $[IFUR(X)]^C$. Then $A \cup B$ is also either a subset of $[IFUR(X)]^C$ or contains $[IFUR(X)]^C$ and hence is IFNsg-closed.

Theorem 9. The union of two IFN sg-closed sets is IFN sg-closed, if $U_R(X) = 1\sim$ and $L_R(X) = 0\sim$.

Proof. The result is obvious, since every subset of T is IFN sg-closed.

Theorem 10. If A and B are IFN sg-closed, then $A \cap B$ is IFN sg-closed in T.

Proof.

Case 1: Let $IFL_R(X) = IFU_R(X)$. Then any subset of $[IFL_R(X)]^C$ and any $A \supset [IFL_R(X)]^C$ are the only IFN sg-closed sets in T. If A and B are IFN sg-closed and $A, B \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$ then $A \cap B \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and hence is IFN sg-closed. If $A \supset [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and $B \supset [IFL_R(X)]^C$, then $A \cap B \supset [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and hence is IFN sg-closed. If $A \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and $B \supset [IFL_R(X)]^C$, then $A \cap B \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and hence is IFN sg-closed. Thus, if $IFL_R(X) = IFU_R(X)$, then $A \cap B$ is IFN sg-closed, whenever A and B are IFN sg-closed.

Case 2: Let $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$. Then any subset of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ and any $A \supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the only IFN sg-closed sets in T. If A and B are IFN sg-closed then A and B are either subsets of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ or $\supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$. Therefore, as in case 1, for different choices of A and B, it can be shown that $A \cap B$ is IFN sg-closed.

Case 3: Let $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$. Since every subset of T is IFN sg-closed, intersection of IFN sg-closed sets is IFN sg-closed.

Case 4: Let $IFL_R(X) = IFU_R(X)$ where $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $U_R(X) = 1\sim$. Then subsets of $[IFL_R(X)]^C$, subsets of $[IFB_R(X)]^C$ and those sets which have atleast one element each of $IFL_R(X)$, $IFB_R(X)$ and $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ are the only IFN sg-closed sets in T. Let A and B be IFN sg-closed in T. If both A and B are subsets of $[IFL_R(X)]^C$ or subsets of $[IFB_R(X)]^C$ or both have atleast one element each of $IFL_R(X)$, $IFB_R(X)$ and $[IFU_R(X)]^C$, then $A \cap B$ is IFN sg-closed. If $A \subseteq [IFB_R(X)]^C$ and $B \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$, then $A \cap B \subseteq [IFB_R(X)]^C$ and $[IFL_R(X)]^C$ and hence is IFN sg-closed. If $A \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and if B has atleast one element each of

$IFL_R(X)$, $IFB_R(X)$ and $[IFU_R(X)]^C$, then $A \cap B \subseteq [IFL_R(X)]^C$ and hence is IFN sg-closed. Similarly, if $A \subseteq [IFB_R(X)]^C$ and if B has atleast one element each of $IFL_R(X)$, $IFB_R(X)$ and $[IFU_R(X)]^C$, then it can be shown that $A \cap B$ is IFN sg-closed

Remark 1. If $L_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $U_R(X) = 1\sim$ in a $IFNTS$, then those sets A for which $A * IFU_R(X)$ are the only IFN g-closed sets.

Proof. $\tau_R(X) = \{1\sim, 0\sim, U_R(X)\}$. If $A \subseteq IFU_R(X)$, then T and $IFU_R(X)$ are the $IFNO$ sets containing A . Also, $IFNcl(A) = 1\sim$ and hence $IFNcl(A) * U_R(X)$. Thus, $IFNcl(A) * G$ when $G = U_R(X)$. Therefore, A is not IFN g-closed. But, if $A * IFU_R(X)$, then T is the only $IFNO$ set $\supseteq A$ and hence $IFNcl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever G is $IFNO$ set and $G \supseteq A$. Therefore, A is IFN g-closed. Thus, only those subsets A of T such that $A * IFU_R(X)$ are IFN g-closed in T , if $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$.

Theorem 11. If $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$, then any IFN sg-closed set is IFN g-closed.

Proof. The only IFN sg-closed sets are subsets of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ and any set $\supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$. If $A \subseteq [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then $A * IFU_R(X)$. If $A \supset [IFU_R(X)]^C$, then there exists atleast one element of A which is not in $IFU_R(X)$. That is, $A * IFU_R(X)$. Thus, in both cases, $A * IFU_R(X)$ and such sets are IFN g-closed in T by remark 1. Thus any IFN sg-closed set is IFN g-closed.

Theorem 12. If $IFL_R(X) = IFU_R(X)$ where $IFL_R(X) = 0\sim$ and $IFU_R(X) = 1\sim$, then every IFN g-closed set is IFN sg-closed.

Proof. Every $A * IFU_R(X)$ is IFN g-closed. When $A * IFU_R(X)$, A has atleast one element of $[IFU_R(X)]^C$ and A may or may not have an element of $IFL_R(X)$ or $IFB_R(X)$. If A has atleast one element of $IFL_R(X)$ but no element of $IFB_R(X)$, then $A \subseteq IFL_R(X) \cup [IFU_R(X)]^C$. Therefore $A \subseteq [IFB_R(X)]^C$ which is IFN sg-closed. If A has atleast one element of $IFB_R(X)$ but no element of $IFL_R(X)$, then $A \subseteq$

$[\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$ which is IFN sg-closed. If A has atleast one element of $\text{IFLR}(X)$ and atleast one element of $\text{IFBR}(X)$, then again A is IFN sg-closed. If A has only elements of $[\text{IFUR}(X)]^C$, then A is IFN sg-closed. Thus any IFN g-closed is IFN sg-closed, if $\text{IFLR}(X) = \text{IFUR}(X)$.

Theorem 13. If $\text{IFLR}(X) = \text{IFUR}(X)$, then any IFN sg-closed set is IFN g-closed.

Proof. Any set $A \subseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$ is IFN sg-closed. And a subset A of T is IFN sg-closed only if $A = [\text{IFLR}(X)]^C$ or $[\text{IFLR}(X)]^C \cup B$ where $B \subseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$ and in both cases, $A \subseteq \text{IFLR}(X)$. Therefore, any IFN sg-closed set is IFN g-closed.

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1) (1986), 87–96.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, *More on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 33(1) (1989), 37–45.
- [3] M. Ramachandran and A. Stephan Antony Raj, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Nano Topological Space: Theory and Applications*, Sciexplore: International Journal of Research in Science, 4(1) (2017), 1–6.
- [4] Levine N., *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [5] A. Stephan Antony Raj and M. Ramachandran M, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Nano Generalized Closed Sets*, Asian Research Journal of Mathematics 9(3) (2018), 1-6.
- [6] P. Bhattacharyya and B.K. Lahiri, *Semi-generalized closed sets in topology*, Indian J. Math., 29 (1987), 375-382.
- [7] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, *On Nano forms of Weakly open sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1) (2013), 31-37.
- [8] R. Devi, H. Maki and K. Balachandran, *Semi-generalized closed maps and generalized closed maps*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser., A, Math., 14 (1993), 41-54.
- [9] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. Reiley, *On sg-closed sets and $g\alpha$ -closed sets*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 20 (1999), 1-5.
- [10] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. Reiley, *On generalized closed sets*, Topology & Appl., 123 (2001), 37-46.

- [11] M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar, *Between closed sets and g-closed sets*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A. Math., 17 (2000), 1-19.
- [12] T. Noiri, Remarks on semi- open mappings,, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 65(1973), 197-201.
- [13] Carmel Richard, *Studies on nano topological space*, Thesis, Madurai Kamarajar University, 2013.